

## 62\_791000 HLH Dan 2 & Rev 12

The question of the direction that Europe is likely to take, in turn, one of the common market, and two of the first law open and distributed.

Four. We are dealing here, not as we were in 1956, a vigorous plight of the 12th century in the house against the commoners in the Eastern Europe at the time of the nuclear revolution by the 12th century.

But we're dealing with a man who will greater part of his life as a poor individual, a lower and middle hierarchy of Catholic church, inside the commoners' country.

And with this in mind, we can evidence what has, what has happened to the man such as this has, or the development of his enemies in Eastern Europe.

As you know, we have off of the common market, the economic foundation, on which housing built a political structure, that would be called, in some modern vernacular, a revival of the ancient Roman Empire, the Holy Empire, that we are familiar with throughout history.

This is the race of the 6th century BC. This is the collapse of Napoleon's realm, and then revival in the last and the 7th century.

Now, in explaining this material, we have articles in the plain truth that he has a booklet in particular called, Who is the Beast, that has been out in France for a little while.

Now, in this book of Who is the Beast, the charms of justice in particular, the state of affairs, the Western Europe of the 6th century, the time of Napoleon, and then skips through the time of Mussolini and Hitler, and comments upon the fact that there is time yet for the historic revival.

I am not going to deal with the question, as I did in a study in Pasadena, but in a quite different fashion, from the point of view of the events that have transpired recent days in Europe, with the events that have been supposed to hold the analogy of Ireland and the United States, that this man could have created such an impact in Eastern Europe as to be expected, although the common empire arguably shocked at the impact that he had after 30 years of communism in Poland.

So, in describing this whole picture from the time of the fall of the Roman Empire, 476 to today, Starnstrow normally has described one half of the story.

We told me in a conversation some two decades ago that our story, as we have explained it, based on Daniel 7th, Revelation 13, Revelation 17, is really globally that part of the story of the Roman world that transpired in Western Europe, with which even the countries of Israel were the descendants of the Catholic tribes, or the church in the West would be familiar.

But as he has said, he has never really explained what was happening in Eastern or Southeast Europe, the Eastern Mediterranean.

Now, the reason I mention this is that when you look at Daniel chapter 2, you have a story in a form that we have really taken a note of.

And I think it is advisable to take a look at the implications of Daniel chapter 2.

Now, in this second chapter, we are clearly told that Nebuchadnezzar, who is the king of the Chaldean or Babylonian empire, is the head of the gold.

The gold witnesses, of course, have a different view, but they are not concerned with their view.

We are concerned with what Daniel clearly told the king.

And answer the king, Nebuchadnezzar, and his Chaldean empire, which was centered in the region we now call Iraq.

There should arise another kingdom that would be inferior, as silver is inferior to gold.

Then there should arise a kingdom of brass, a rod, and then a kingdom of iron.

Now, what we know is that up to this point, the kingdom of iron has only been described as to the nature of the constitution.

We have pointed out that there was an Eastern and a Western Roman empire.

The Western Roman empire had the capital of Rome, the Eastern, around 331.

This is A.D.

It had a capital of Constantinople.

On a peninsula that, anciently, was called Byzantium, and hence the empire commonly.

After the fall of Rome and the West, it was called Byzantium.

This was the Eastern Roman empire of Byzantium.

The B-Y-C-A-S-B-I-U-S, for those of you who are trying to have the correct spelling.

Now, we have never explained what it was like to have the two legs of this giant statue continue through time, all the way down to these feet, which were of iron hands, clay, meaning partly straw and partly riddles, and then chance tools, by one each foot, be presumed by, because there's something in the beginning that was an abnormal fashion.

Now, what we take note of that is significant here, is that you and I know that the Roman empire, both Eastern and Western, in this game, seemed to be so difficult to rule, that they, in fact, had four or two emperors, not uncommonly, at different periods in history, but sharing the most.

And the country, the realm that is the entire kingdom, was a realm divided into two parts, working together.

As time went on, of course, the parts weren't less and less together, but recognized each other in one capacity or another.

We've never taken note of this, however, through time.

You said when Rome fell, and Dominion restored the Western Roman empire in the West, we really didn't tell you what was going on in the East.

Now, it is not important, it's past history.

What is important is that there was a Roman empire in the East, that they had to be storing in the West, and Dominion created a Western Roman empire, the capital being essentially a preventive realm.

And this was the separate realm.

There was a legate who ruled the area, and was essentially a separate realm to the West, while the Roman empire in the East continued.

Now, we need to go through history, which I found a little bit later, but I want to come down to the experience of the Second World War, to show you that you probably all have heard, but never understood, and our literature had never emphasized the point that we have discussed.

And that is, during the Second World War, we have said that there was Mussolini's restoration of the Roman empire, and it was the third life, and we have maps usually that show all of Europe under a black or a red patch, and that was called the Axis.

Axis meaning that there were two major capitals along the Berlin, and the fulcrum, of course, was over the Alps, the Germany, and the third life north of the Alps was the Italian realm, with thousands of it, and it was the kind of Mediterranean empire, including Olympia, Albania, and then in the East Africa, Italian Somaliland, there was Freya, and he killed him.

So all you need to do is look at the map for the time.

Now, what Americans and British people, what most of you know is that there were two famous leaders, who were leaders in their respective countries, leaders in the province there, because in Germany there was no emperor, there was only a leader.

In Italy there was both an emperor and a leader of the party, who was the prime minister.

Now, those two famous men, who were the best directors, the fiefs in the policy of Europe were Hitler and Mussolini.

What we failed to take notes of, that is, I think, very important, Hitler and Mussolini did not form one restoration of the Roman empire.

They formed a restoration, but they were always two realms, separately governing, cooperating as best they could.

It was as simple as looking at statues.

One part of the foot is the bottom of one leg, and the other leg has a foot, and part of the bottom of it, but it represented the two realms.

In other words, if you were to have a statue facing east, you might say that the right foot represented Italy, and the left foot represented Germany at the level just before the coast.

What we should then show is that there was no such thing as a restoration of one Roman empire.

Since the image the Daniels saw, or never connected, as it revealed to Daniel, had two legs to be entangled on each of the feet, we would draw the conclusion that, in general, we should expect, throughout time, two separate parts of an empire, separately ruled, or jointly ruled, as we will see, as a whole.

Now, this has a very great importance with respect to Europe, because, as it refers to the Roman Empire, it calls the Third Empire the first empire of the German nation.

The Third Roman Empire of the German nation, that's what the Third Reich was, and to each form the Roman Empire in the Mediterranean world, we actually have a kind of north-south distinction of

east-west, but, after all, in the history of Europe, it varies, normally, at east-west, and sometimes it's been north-south, where north is southeast.

In any case, you've had two separate realms, and the implication of them is the weak should look into the future, and we may well expect to find, in Europe, not a single restoration of the Roman Empire, but a two-part restoration, but unlike any previous one, this one is called Babylon, politically in Revelation 17, economically in Revelation 18, and religiously in Revelation 17 and other places, and it looks to be very clearly under the rule of a single leader, under the rule of a single leader, but even though a statue may have ten toes five on each foot, and it's a certain sense of separate, in their structure, they have singular leadership, because the being is ultimately described as the single person.

There won't be a victim of the meaning, there won't be an asset, but there may well be an economic, political structure that is, in fact, made up of two separate units in Europe, whereas we have always thought of the common market as the only basis, and nations in western Europe or behind the iron prison be added one at a time, or two or three, to a single western Roman Empire.

I think this evening, when we look through the story, we will have to conclude that it's very likely that we should look for a two whole political and economic structure in Europe, each one being a form of restoration of the Roman structure, the Roman political thinking and tradition of western Europe, linked ultimately under the leadership of one man, but made up of ten nations.

And if the statue has some meaning, it would be difficult to see them as others, than five representing the west and five representing the east, being the five toes on each of the feet, which tells us something about the nature of what may happen to the common market.

But let me go back, now that I have told you about this section.

I want to go back and show what we do know about the history of human spaces, and to what extent western Europe stands in a sense a western orientation and an eastern orientation, or a Germanic orientation and a Latin orientation.

You can have a western and a Slavic and Germanic, and a Slavic and a Germanic and a Latin, or a French and also German.

There are different false patterns, different cultural traits.

The three great entities in Europe are Slavic, Germanic and Western.

And one or another of these makes a contribution.

Normally the Slavic is a belief.

It has always been subject to the Germanic if it entered into the structure at all, or it is subject to the Latin in southeast Europe.

But we may see the Slavic elements play a more significant role than in a Germanic-Latin combination of the west as already in the common market.

So I will now go back to our story.

The book of Daniel should be seen in terms of Daniel II, in terms of Daniel VII, and then Revelation 13 and 17 altogether, each one perhaps adding a little to the story that is missing elsewhere.

Now that I have discussed briefly here the chain of events in history, there are those who normally have never read the book, but who would it be for having read it, thought it was interesting for sure that the German dog was right because he wrote it and decided to think no further about it.

So I would beg of you if this is how much you want to know about it, to at least listen and yet to feel, because the important part is not any money-mended history, but the trends and the implications for the present and future.

So that we, in a sense, know what we're looking for and do not wait for what does not appear, and that we would be aware of what is likely to occur since we have for the first time in history a blog post 59 years of age now, there's a newspaper, I don't know if it was a birth, it wasn't a reason, and a man who has personally a significant number of victims years who impart the power, the influence of the church in an area that has destined to change the state of Europe.

If we were to go back, we have, as I said, the story of the upper half of the statue laid out, in Daniel 7, and is the story of the four wild animals.

Now in Daniel chapter 2, the picture is similar, you don't have to turn to these if you're familiar with them because we'll go from one to another.

In Daniel chapter 2, you soon note the importance of the two steps of lay, and then the feet and the toes.

Now before we interpret the feet and toes, we probably should say that the implication is that the Roman Empire itself was the two legs, the east and the west.

Now when we look in Daniel chapter 7, we have the feet instead of an image of a man.

Now in Daniel 2, the reason for the image of a man is that we're talking about the image of human thinking.

Human government is the image of man, and animals can create government human beings too.

Now we read in Revelation 12 that there is a power that gives authority to human beings and sets them up to rule, and ultimately that authority which is the devil also is subject to a higher authority who is God himself and determines what the devil may or may not be allowed to do.

But in Daniel chapter 7, you have here the nature of these human beings described as religious beings.

Now this beast has three fundamental characteristics.

One, at some time or another, there is a death of human administered, and on the beast it doesn't die, but there's a wolf that was so deadly that at least let's say one half the creature would seem to have been subject to death, except that out of that head that was wounded, and remember the primary head was rogue, out of the head that was moving there were ten horns, and there was an overthrow of three of the ten horns.

So we learned from this that there is going to be some kind of theory of success in restoration, a rogue and a number of which a religious power has been alive by another horn.

We won't go into great detail there this evening, that this horn has reached three of them.

These have correctly been identified in the book of the times gone by, and the heroine who first established power in Italy in 476.

They were overthrown at the behest of the Catholic community that invited the Austro-Gods into the area in 496, and the Austro-Gods were overthrown when the Catholic community linked up with the army of the Roman Empire in the east, and the gold of the gods and the Austro-Gods over the Alps and out of Italy.

Now, the other group was the Vangals who first went through this part of the world they have just described.

Then, that's around 410, and went through southern all around Spain, went into North Africa, and were overthrown about 535.

The parallel you see came later, but were overthrown earlier, and then the Vangals were overthrown in North Africa and the Austro-Gods were overthrown in Italy.

Now, the Church is a god seven days in whose roots the Church may be found in the past century or two.

I have explained this chapter differently.

Their explanation in my judgment is wholly erroneous because they include one other power that was not overthrown but was actually absorbed in Italy, the Lombard.

There were only three barbarian nations that at any time either made the covenant with the Eastern Roman Empire to establish it in their part of the West or re-instituted Roman law or Roman pointage.

All of these three kingdoms, they heralded the Austro-Gods and the Vangals where the only one that, take note, restored the Roman system of law and pointage and commerce and government in the West and were acquitted.

Now, there were others who adopted Roman customs without ever attempting to restore their governance like the visit of the Lombard.

But in the end, they were not overthrown by a religious power called Rome.

They were absorbed into the community, one in Spain or one in Italy.

So, the literature to be published is clearly precise and accurate on this point.

If there are any questions, the best simple answer that would support the material we have given is the Encyclopedia of World History, one volume worth written by William Langer.

This is available in both libraries.

You can look under the subject here a lot of Vangals and William Langer, who was probably the dean of American Historians would tell you that no other structure, no other tribe, no other nation in Western Europe ever attempted to restore the Roman Empire as these three did.

They may have been absorbed, but they were never acquitted.

They were acquitted as they were all non-athletes.

They were Vangals.

Now, I would draw attention to these Vangals.

They were Arians.

They are IANF, meaning they were followers of the teachings of Dr. Arians, who differed from those who upheld their doctrine of the Trinity.

Now, most people do not know, but I would mention something that I doubt anybody who's mentioned publicly before and that's what I had inadvertently did in the study.

The Arians believed, in general, that the truth of fiction occurred on a Wednesday, and the resurrection occurred on a Saturday.

Herbert W. Armstrong was not the first one to understand it.

The first to understand it were the 12 apostles and the rest of the 120.

That's why the Bible says what it does.

And it was knowledge throughout the early century that it was understood.

Throughout the world where the Arians were except in the Roman world where the knowledge was gradually stamped out.

That would say, that's just a hell of a dispute.

Two and one century ago in the present time I've seen their literature off the subject.

We perhaps have a piece of literary church that has appeared in anything that had ever been written before.

But it is not that unusual for people who have actually studied the Bible and it is significant that they are Arians.

We're not talking of vipers, Arians, so why? It's a racist term, but this is a religious term.

There is a reason why they were eluded because they conceived of the Sabbath as we would.

They did not fully understand the nature of God.

We are not saying that they were members of the church of God at all, but they preserved it much of the truth as I pointed out this evening.

Now, when these pre-Barbarians, non-Catholic restorations of government in the West were overthrown, it was finally possible over the period of 535 to 554 to see the military efforts of North Africa and Italy.

We have the Roman Empire restored in the West and we say that this was done by a general about sailors and others, and it was the Athenians who restored the empire, but the Athenian rule in the East and Elecate rule in the West.

The Western authority, they ruled over the Western restoration of the Roman Empire and acknowledged as the senior official in the West the government in the East.

So, as we start out in a period of 1260 years, Revelation 13 from 554 to 1814, we have a picture now where we see clearly that a religious power is dominant in association with the restorations of the Roman system in the West, having company in three states.

Now, this would tend to tell us that since the Roman Catholic Church is essentially a Western power and the church in the East that later came to be known as the Orthodox Church was the Eastern one, that we would automatically recognize that the role of the church should be linked up with the

restoration in the West, whereas in the East and the State dominated the church, in the West the church tended to dominate the state, that the general rule of thumb.

Now that we have pictured a restoration in the West, the Justinian died in 565 and the empire soon, this pictogram is called up.

We have a testation of the Roman Empire in the West, but it constitutes the entity that is the Byzantine Empire, which the Justinian rule and his successes continue to rule throughout the region of Greece, the Bulgarian parts of Yugoslavia, Romania, Asia Minor, Egypt, the Palestine, Lebanon, Syria, you know this vast area, the Eastern Mediterranean, that it will kill the coming of the Arab and Islam, and then the empire shriveled them by the Roman Empire.

Well, we know at this point that when we say Justinian restored the empire in the West, we do not deny, we have just merely never emphasized that the empire continued in the East, that it was saying in a sense that one foot represents one part of the story which we are not discussing normally, and the other foot is parallel to the Ten Horns of Daniel 7, or the Ten Horns of the book of Revelation, chapter 13.

And now we will move on.

In the succeeding century, the church had the need to safeguard ourselves against other Arab tribes, and they cast about for the Frankish people, those who were Frankish in general, living along the Rhine and other rivers, that is the rivers of France that flow into the sand, the Germanic people who lived along the Rhine from a little in other rivers.

And this group of people called the Franks, made up of a number of nations, up and down the Rhine and the joining river valleys, were converted to cosmology, unlike the other tribes of Western Europe, and so the folk encouraged this family to think in terms of the future of Europe, and to perhaps plan to carry the umbrella of civilization.

It was as late as 800 A.D., however, before the plan was brought to fruition.

Now that seems to quite a long time, but not everything reckons itself in Europe.

It was around Christmas Day in 800 A.D.

that Charlemagne was crowned by the Pope, and he became the first emperor in the West that was not, apart from the direct sequence of emperors ruling in the Vanquish, that was he.

But Charlemagne recognized that he was a secondary man that the emperor in the East even had primacy, because after all, he had just been anointed into this office, whereas the emperors at the Vanquish in the East were clearly the successors of the old Roman Empire.

But the Catholic Church in the West had not been crowned in the East, they only had been crowned Western Europe.

So what we discover here now is something important.

The Bishop of Gaul had no real power over the emperors in the Vanquish, but did dominate over the legates in the West after Justinian restored the empire there.

When Charlemagne in 800 A.D.

or A.D. 800, we prefer to put A.D. before the number, be it the actual number, that's custom, that's the cross form, although we've deviated from that in our literature, he looked upon the Eastern Roman emperors as, you know, the first among these two equals.

But the Roman Church did dominate in the West and used the behavior of the French restoration of the restoration by Charles the Great or Charlemagne, that's what Charlemagne means.

It's a French word that means has anglicized, in German we call it Charles, there's a word that means Charles, or Charles the Great.

Other than, anxious successors were dominated in their youth by the clergy in the West.

But the clergy in the West did not control the empire in the East.

The empire disintegrated into three parts, but ultimately gave rise to three modern nation-states of Italy, Germany, and France.

It was not exactly that to begin with, but there was a drift in this direction to have three dominant powers outside of the Iberian Peninsula, where Spain is, to have three dominant powers in the West, Germany, Italy, and France.

Or, let's say, your Gallic people, your Italian people, and your Germanic people, that's what it amounts to.

The empire did hold together in take apart the 10th century.

Now, in 962, a Saxon king in Northwest Germany, Otto, was anointed to me the new Roman Empire, the emperor in the West.

And all during this time, the Eastern Roman Empire continued.

But the Roman Catholic Church did not dominate the empire in the East.

It only dominated in the West.

That's the support.

I won't be saying this so that you understand the implications of this for the future in terms of Eastern Europe, where atheism is the religion of the state.

So you grasp these possibilities.

This was the first German restoration, because the Franks were looked upon as partly German and partly French.

The Germans have, of course, always felt that the true Franks were Germans and the Franks were proud of themselves and the true Franks were French.

And that's why they never solved their border problems in their own history.

That's the way the big names have to go.

Now, this first restoration of the Roman Empire in Germany properly is called the first light in German tradition.

It is the third restoration of the Roman Empire since three barbarian tribes were updated in their attempts to restore Rome.

But it is called by the Germans the first light, meaning the first empire.

This is the first purely German restoration in the West and does any of them function in the East? It's like the one foot we have taken to Noho but the other foot, Daniel 7, Revelation 13, a special Noho.

In 1254, the last German emperor, and there was more than one dynasty during this time, was killed.

There was no government running the empire between 1254 and 1273.

This is a 19-year period, which Mr. Armstrong has recognized, and this is why he has taken special note of what was about to happen.

This is the time when a part of Europe, or the Roman world, as it was then in the Roman Empire, was governed by what is called the Fifth Law, that's the Fifth Law, because every man was a law to itself, and he who's fifth was stronger was the one who got his way, and was chaos.

In 1273, when there were sub-variations immediately afterward, the general sequence was that the family of the half-birds should now rule over the empire, so this was the family that came out of Switzerland from a palace, or a court, shall we say, that was named after the hawks, H-A-W-K-F.

The German word, that is, the English word, fast-bird, comes from, ultimately, a root which means public court, or the court of hawks, named after the hawks in the area, and the family got its name and passed to the castle in Switzerland, and this family dominated over the region of Austria, and Austria captured Slovakia, and was, finally, sequentially made a series of emperors throughout the time of the Holy Roman Empire, that is, the German nation.

Now we have named the restoration of Justinian and his legate in the West.

We have named the Frankish restoration the First German Right, and then the continuity of that First German Right, because it was never politically...

it was never another terror destroyed, but it was now run by the Austrians, and the reason they chose a family to do it, instead of electing first one dynasty and then another, is they thought it would give continuity to the empire.

So now we are beginning the fourth restoration of Roman government in the West, and this changed by the Habsburg ruling houses of Hungary in that area, or more properly, as that they ought to in Czechoslovakia, because Hungary was really not of any consequence until the last century, in political sequence.

Now, this continued while the Roman Empire in the East was gradually being herded to be.

So the Roman Empire in the East, while the Austrians ruled the Empire of the West, went side by side until the Eastern Roman Empire finally collapsed and disappeared in 1453.

Now, there was a carcelator married to the Russian royal family, and the Russians had taken the name of Caesar, but the Russians never...

the Russians never used the Russian Empire as a Roman Empire, but a Russian Empire that had become acculturated by the Eastern Roman Empire.

They never thought of themselves as a respiration of the Roman Empire, but the royal family thought of themselves as descendants of the rulers who once did rule in Byzantium to intermarry.

There was, although there was, let us say, a religious and cultural heritage, the Russian system has always and essentially been Russian.

But with the collapse of the Roman Empire in the East in the middle of the 5th century, in the West, you'd know that this was about the time of the knife-holding of the Habsburg family, and in the West a new pattern was developing in which the emperors were elected over the German Empire, but also we moved back to military territory surrounding the Roman German Empire.

That is, as the empire in the East, the story of the faith, or in this case, one of the faiths, was disintegrating.

The Western pattern was, in a sense, duplicated so that there was a Holy Roman Empire of the German nation where the Habsburgs were elected with each generation, and around that area, in Austria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, and the Balkans, the Lowlands, and Spain, and finally the New World, the emperors, one way or another, were linked to other royal families who were at the military territory that they were ruling.

So that's the emperors in effect after the middle of the 5th century.

Not only were ruling the empire, but were creating, if you please, a family of military territory in the heart of Europe that we ultimately will find bearing the name of Austria.

Now, I'd like to take note of the implication because Austria is a word in English that goes back to a Latin word, the German word for this, which means the Eastern Reich, and also it became prominent in the Byzantium collapse.

So we have the interesting situation of the Habsburgs being elected to rule over Germany in the last half of the first German Reich, in the Austrian restoration of the Roman government, and finally developing a territory that has since become an Eastern Reich in the heart of Europe, from the German Reich.

So that after the Habsburgs dominated during the period of Charles V by 20th August, you come to the revolutionary period of the 18th century.

And when France was in permanent, Germany was deteriorating as a result of the terrible 30 years religious war that destroyed the heartland of Germany between 1618 and 1648.

France thereafter became more and more important, and so, our ancient ruler, Napoleon, who was a general, came out of Corsica, who descended from the ancient emperors to rule the Eastern Roman Empire.

He was not a Frenchman, he was a descendant of the ancient emperors who ruled the Eastern Roman Empire.

He became the emperor in France, and the purpose of having this title was to restore the Roman Empire by the French nation.

And the Austrians, at this point in time, accepted that instead of Austria being dominant over Germany, Austria should play a secondary role to France.

That is, the Frenchman now, Mr. Napoleon, who was not really a Frenchman, although the French think he is the greatest Frenchman who ever lived, greater human than the Gaul, so the British have always thought he was the console of the Dutch, but different people have different opinions of their great leaders.

This man was now a man who dominated over France and Germany and linked the Vineland space and the other German states to his realm, so that he became, in a sense, the emperor in the area that was now France and Germany.

And the answers can change through what was called the Eastern Empire, because Austrians, as you see, became more and more prominent as a separate entity in the heart of Europe after the fall of ancient descent.

So if you were to look at one of the pieces, you would say that the one foot that essentially represents the Eastern part was really Byzantium and Austria, thus far, whereas the other foot, we're not talking about the code, would have been the restoration of the Roman Empire in Italy, among the French, among the Germans, among the Austrians, and now among the French and Germans in the days of Napoleon.

So this is the story of the Eastern part, the other foot.

We've only told the story of the Western where the church normally had ruled.

In this case, however, the interesting thing is that Napoleon, although the Catholic Church did play and wanted to play a dominant role and didn't last long, Napoleon crowned himself with the crown from the post of St. Caster the post that made him a prisoner in the process of doing this.

And it is said that the Catholic Church really never dominated significantly the French restoration, but they did dominate the Austrians as they had been throughout the history of the Habsburg family.

Napoleon was overthrown in 1814.

Some of the characteristics of all that has gone before, and that beast had seven heads and ten horses.

Now we note that those seven heads do seem to parallel.

The seven heads of Daniel's beast is Daniel 7.

Babylon was an animal with one head.

Persia, there was the two.

There was the bear, I should say.

And then you have Greece with four, and then you have Rome.

You have an interesting situation where there's a number of heads of Daniel 7 and the number of horns are the same.

The number of heads and the number of horns, seven heads, ten horns, are the same as seven heads, ten horns and Daniel, but John the seasoned in one animal Daniel saw it as four.

But after all, Daniel sees it as before.

Any of the four have ceased to be in fact before any existence but the first one.

But John the Revelation sees it after Babylon and the Persians and the Greeks had already disappeared from the sea.

So in the sense John's beast is picking up the story of the Roman world and it's exceeding restoration.

The tens are bound into the ten horns of Daniel 7.

In the 42 months that this system ruled in the west represents the period from 44 to 1814.

At which point five restorations in the west have now fall.

Restoration under the legate in the days of Justinian.

Restoration of Charlemagne and Successors.

Restoration by Otto of the first year of restoration you see.

And then the Austrian restoration and then the Napoleonic restoration.

These are five restorations.

Five are fall.

I say that because when you turn to the book of Revelation chapter 17 you read about a beast with seven heads and ten horns and you discover that although that's future as John saw it, the whole thing is future yet when you approach the day of the Lord John is told that five are fall.

So that the five did survive at some time but finally they're fast as we approach the floating two centuries of Earth history.

Now, what you do interestingly and this is probably the very special contribution that St. Armstrong made to an understanding of Revelation 17.

And that is Daniel pictured ten horns at which three were uprooted leaving only seven.

John in Revelation 13 mentions the same ten horns.

He doesn't discuss the matter of the three that were uprooted because that's already the case that Daniel's already explained.

But, Mr. Armstrong, the first scene correctly, that the seven heads in Revelation do not correspond to the seven heads in Daniel 7.

Let me correct my statement to clarify. The seven heads in Revelation 17 do not correspond to the seven heads in Daniel or the seven heads in Revelation 13 but those seven heads represent the last seven horns of Daniel 7 in Revelation 13.

You should make note of this important and significant matter because Mr. Armstrong, therefore, is pointing out that after, let us say the first three horns were uprooted you have four, five, six, seven, eight the next horns four through eight, five horns all together over which the woman would dominate in Daniel 7 or Revelation 13.

But these correspond to the first five heads in Revelation 17.

And this is all part of the foot. We haven't got to visit toes yet from Daniel 2.

Now, five are fallen.

Now we are told that there is a time where there is one that is and one that is yet to come.

That would mean that there are ultimately seven in all over which the woman reigns because Revelation 17 is telling us of the mesmerizations over which the woman reigns and there are seven heads.

But in Revelation 17 you have the most remarkable statement there are 17 or 17 of verse 10.

Five are fallen and one is and the other has not yet come due to brief periods.

Now, as for the beast that is this last restoration that was and is not and shall appear now there are other explanations one can offer but this is very important to note it is in reality an eighth restoration but in actuality it is still one of the seven John has previously seen.

Now, this unique explanation which is nothing but confusing for most people in verse 11 is very important in terms of the last century in the beginning of the present one because the Austrian empire continued the holy and realm ceased the Western restoration of the Roman system ended in 1814 but the eastern one continued under the emperor the eastern empires today Austria and in 1877 there was a Franco-Prussian war carefully and cleverly instigated by Prince Bismarck that put on the road for the first time in western Europe a Protestant emperor who came to restore the Roman Empire and he called it the Second German Right Hitler's was the third this Second German Right that was restored in 1871 was run of course by the Prussian and it changed to its end in 1918 it changed to its end in 1918 the end of the First World War now this was a restoration of the Roman Empire that Daniel never dreamed of either in chapter 2 or chapter 7 that John didn't understand because it was pictured in Revelation 13 in fact it isn't even pictured in Revelation 17 but in the words of Jesus Christ to John the angel John might have guessed that if the last restoration is in 18 then somewhere after the fifth there will be a restoration of the Roman Empire over which or let's see, as part of it the woman did not rise and anybody who knows the history of Europe in this century would know that the Bible most certainly would not have overlooked the tragedy of the First World War and the fact that the German Kaiser or the German Emperor looked upon their Prussian promised empire as a restoration of the second German restoration of the Roman Empire but one over which Rome did not rule one over which Rome did not rule that's very important that in a sense will make the seventh one really an age and it will make the sixth one actually a seventh in sequence but since it was not sat upon by the woman it is never pictured in any of these promises except by the words of Revelation 17:11 but we should know that it does exist and that the angel knew it would exist and made the message to John and therefore we must understand why the first German right is described and the second German right is described before we come to Hitler so what we have is a restoration not otherwise defined by Protestants over which the Roman Catholic Church did not rule it was in this Protestant reformation that this mark led a full court account that is a cultural struggle against Roman Catholicism and the battle let's say was without definitions the Protestants and Catholics take turns Protestants should not win the Catholics would not lose and the Catholics did not actually win it was a kind of brawl within the empire so in that sense we now know that a restoration of the second German right is normally not stated in any note of it does by the Kaiser while the Roman empire representing the other was continuing in the first of the half-burbs that ranged till 1918 when they too were overthrown so what we have then by 1918 is the story in the faith before we come to the left before we come to the church of a continuity of amazing family the ancient Byzantium emperors and the Austrian emperors of the eastern right continuing in one part these many restorations pictured by the heads in Revelation 17 or the horns in Revelation 13 and Daniel 7 in 1921 a Christ was broke out in Italy and we have a prominent man who became Prime Minister and who made a victory manual in emperors and he began to passion in the late 1920s and 1930s a new restoration of the Roman empire which Mr. Erzgaard has seen as the one that is the other one has yet to come the one that is when the church became really aware of it was Mussolini's restoration but a thing that was very important to take note of here Mr. Erzgaard came to the Second World War wondered if Erzgaard was not in fact the son of Reservation and was the leader of the system he wondered about it you know after all he came up in 1933 to power and created the third

German right and it came up after Mussolini and he wondered there were four of them one was really following the other the answer is turned out to be no and today he was beginning to realize this by 1943 and this seems to be side by side well now in actuality what should have expected this is side by side to be side by side because all along in history there has always been two parts of the system there has always been an empire in the east whether it has been that few more in Austria while there were transformations in Italy and France and Germany and Austria and France and now at this time there comes to be two restorations again that came to power and became true empires in the 1930s one north the other south of the Alps the Catholic Church never dominated for Germany for many Catholics support for persecution as were Jews and Gypsies and the Ukrainians the Islamic pieces of all sorts and French and Belarus and Dutch it was a terrible time but what we should have expected and of course most of the Christian world cared nothing about it the Church of God had no real understanding the Church of God set the date and the Church of God was beginning to get an understanding and he came to see before the war was over that without any doubt there were in fact two restorations of the Roman Empire not one exception not that the Church ruled over one and the other the Church really never dominated others in Italy and they didn't dominate Germany because what Hitler created was an amalgam of ancient he and German rituals the midnight I should say the fires that would often be ignited during the night in amphitheaters similar to what we have here they had traditions that went back to the ancient areas they had something from Protestantism and Catholicism and they linked it together and other things as Hitler came up with the master race theory it was a barbaric system and the Roman Catholic Church did not dominate it it stepped aside unfortunately to let its empire be created but they did not dominate but they did dominate Italy having made a concordat in 1929 so it is important to note at this stage that there were two parts to a restoration of the Roman system the sixth restoration the one that is that was described here in verse 10 in Revelation 17 and it collapsed in the period of 1944 and 1945 now I am emphasizing what is going on so that you understand that through history the Roman system had never ever been united since the 15th the empire never ever had, there has never been only one restoration there was no one restoration leading to the First World War no one restoration leading to the Sanctus no one leading to the Napoleonic War you had three parts all alone you may have shifted from one area to another but the Roman Empire has never been able to be a single human and in the final analysis we have however something unique the woman rides the beast in Revelation 17 the final beast pictured here has 10 horns and she takes it riding the whole system of course they overthrow her finally now these 10 horns in Revelation 17 are not defined as the horns in Revelation 13 or of Daniel 7 in fact they are not even described at all these 10 horns in Revelation 17 would correspond to the 10th Toes in Daniel chapter 2 Mr. Armstrong did not understand that fully when we first moved it up he thought maybe the Toes represented the success of the powers corresponding to Daniel 7 or Revelation 13 but then he realized that those two chapters really were parallel with feet of iron in place and that the 10 Toes really correspond to a 10 fold restoration that will occur only after it closed only after it closed Pepper attempted to duplicate it but the final restoration will be 10 powers who will use their power and authority to defeat they have one mind in Revelation 13 Revelation 17 and they are going to make war on the Lamb and the Lamb will conquer them the Lord and Lord king of kings so we now know that this system leads to the coming of Christ these are 10 kings verse 12 they have not received royal power because they don't start out as kings but they are going to receive authority as kings for a very brief period of 12 one hour and probably together with the beast and this implies that the beast is the kind of emperor and these are kings the emperor being a ruler over kings so we are probably going to see a shift on a democratic concept whether it's for men you know the writers have democracy too which is a lie they call it it won't be a democratic system in either case it will ultimately be an authoritarian and a royal system but not a start but if there are 10 or 10 kings here we know of course that we do not assume that it is a

necessarily separate nation because it may be blocked a nation for instance it would be unthinkable if there was a political power who had columns and a Belgium and Luxembourg had 3 votes and France one and Italy one and Germany one no sensible Frenchman would allow it no Italian in his right mind for the German it is unthinkable but it may be a block which wasn't such a vote that's a possibility just bear in mind the start of the new return is for a block of nations but now the implication from this is significant because we're counting the powers of the common market here 9 now excluding Greece which is gradually entering but they're so far behind they're not telling how long it will take before they'll really be in 10 but in the true sense of the word but the days will like to get off the bandwagon and the English are truly going to do it that's the most inopportune time and yet there's no flooding for it and if the Low Countries becomes one block you have removed let's say Denmark who have removed England in all probability and you will take 2 votes away in the Low Countries that's 4 1, 2, 3, 4 already removed out of the 9 and that means you 5 we're just talking we're not prophesying at this point but you'll notice that the image that Daniel has in 2nd chapter is 5 votes and the implication politically is that in all probability the political power in Europe will be developing out of the common market not as 10 to 12 or 13 or 9 but ultimately the implication of Daniel is that there will be 5 let us say voting powers it may be more nations but there will be 5 powers 5 nations and lots of nations linked together is the implication Luxembourg is the principal Belgium and Holland are taking those and very likely there will be a tweaking there politically not to become one nation but to remain separate entities within a higher level called many lux in fact free to function as one nation economically not as Germany or Italy and France which function as separate nations these 3 actually act often as one economic power even now but not politically now the picture that we have says that the question is Eastern Europe now in Eastern Europe you have what is called the comic con Western Europe has a common market the comic con is the common market these are made up of nations where communism has been entrenched 25 to 30 years it is made up of Poland Czechoslovakia Hungary East Germany Romania Bulgaria Yugoslavia is not behind the iron curtain it is west of it the iron curtain is drawn east Austria is not a part of the iron curtain but a neutral country Switzerland Liechtenstein are neutral of course the iberian peninsula many people want to get in but if I look at the picture I tend to see the following patterns I tend to see the possibility that ultimately if you have the many lots of countries as one power we may discover that the german states in the west in some way will be linked up with the east in any case East Germany is 95% Protestant and atheistic and no they are finding percentage of Catholics that is not a Catholic statement but in the east what is a Protestant is a Catholic state Czechoslovakia is primarily a Catholic state Hungary is significantly a Catholic state Romania is only a small part now these are all communist countries and then of course you have the question of the role of East Germany and then you clearly have the question of the role of Yugoslavia a communist country west of the iron curtain what I perceive is the probability in 1979 and hereafter that the communist parties in eastern Europe will ultimately be able to retain their political power because there is no true political opposition inside there is opposition but they will probably be able to hold their power and as a bloc I am not talking about Bulgaria which has no consequences because as a bloc they will most likely link up with the common market but keeping their second political entity it will be what we have always seen the common market there they happen in a socialist state that will develop with five prominent leading powers and more nations in all probability and the eastern bloc or the other part of the restoration of the Roman Empire will be the common state in fact you will be taking a socialist communist form of government which is now entrenched but in this case linking up with the West seeing that their cultural heritage is western much as Yugoslavia has been thinking of it certainly the polls are rethink this would mean that what we have is the possibility of five nations there may be more nations but five voting nations in the eastern bloc that is each bloc will demand equal powers five in the west and five in the east not six and four like your five toes on each foot we used to picture that that there

would be only one empire in ten states with maybe a bloc coming in on its own Czechoslovakia and Hungary Yugoslavia and it would only be one restoration of the Roman Empire in the west and nothing can be always been more than one part of the system this would indicate that the communist party will be shifting in the foreseeable future from a position which they now have that position is that they have freedom of religion and they have freedom that they have freedom of religion and freedom of anti-religious propaganda freedom of religion means that you can make up your own mind religiously after you're 18 prior to that time you must be indoctrinated by atheism and that you cannot as a parent indoctrinate your children without coming afoul of the law of course at Poland this was bothered all the time at Poland it didn't depend on the people the Czechs would advise it though very much the Poles are a lot more intelligent than most people give their credit for at one view the Slavs Poland tell that there's coming a time when this Slavs folk is going to be able to influence the nations of Eastern Europe so instead of having only freedom of religion that really means nothing until you're 18 there is not only going to be freedom of religion but most personally the Church is going to demand and get freedom of religious propaganda as the second step so there are really three steps one, freedom of religion in a workable sense so that parents may teach children that freedom of religious propaganda they write to and then speak out and convert others and there will be freedom of anti-religious propaganda and the final step will undoubtedly be in demand that the Catholic Church be the only officially recognized Church in Eastern Europe as it will make the same demand in the West the implications then are that we should not expect a unified common market only on which there will be a political power established all to be but that there will be a part of it that is Western Capitalistic Socialist and Oriental and another part that will ultimately be linked to the West for economic reasons primarily and ultimately political it is probable that the political move will in some way be based on the following premise that in Europe is a kind of neutral style it won't be very neutral but that's what is being spoken of today in which Western Europe and Eastern Europe will be a part of the Western word and not no longer associated so directly with the United States with the Soviet Union and a kind of counterbalance against the United States not necessarily an opposition at first like China has a counterweight with the Soviet Union I can foresee this part of Europe coming together co-alone an unexpected deal with Russia so that Europe finally and the Soviet Union come to a secret agreement in which the United States and China are delivered to their mutual neighbors as an inevitable result Russia cannot forever allow China to continue and the prophecy implies that Europe will ultimately become very upset at this country and it's lost and our religious views that keep church and state separate but when that comes it will probably be a bigger surprise as the Hitler on reason brought the Holocaust in Moscow in August of 1939 and within a few weeks by the very beginning of September the Second World War broke out it is just such a possible all of us included but you see how much must be done along the way now I would point out one other thing and we have just a few minutes before nine and I'll take those minutes in Daniel chapter 11 you know in verse 31 the story of that time coming to the central area it's outside in 167 you know from the period of spring of 167 to 164 in the autumn you have a period after your period Jesus in Matthew 24 spoke of the time of Jerusalem being surrounded by armies and an abomination that would make guess with it he said in Matthew 24 to take note to read what Daniel says about it and let the readers understand now this man described in verse 31 really comes on the scene in verse 21 the same person beginning with verse 21 of Daniel 11 is described all the way to verse 31 and all of them now the story really ends in verse 31 all the way to the bottom of it that he shall seduce the flattery those who violate the covenant as it was on in 32 they put an end to him they stand firm and take action so that in a sense the story of Antiochus Tiffany is maybe thought to be in verse 32 but look at this man in verse 21 he is described clearly in the place of the preceptor the story of the caner of others in his place shall arrive a contestable person soon a lot of magic may have begun now Hitler was very similar to this but he was not the ultimate he was a corporal he was

not normal in his mind he was possessed on many occasions he said he was possessed perhaps on rare occasions a contestable person out of the camp, first we talk about some honorable leaders here from those of Trump I would like to just mention that we should not get locked in to things that we think are great the Catholic Church has traditions that there is going to be one more hold after the president I personally think the president was a very important leader but I do not think that he himself is going to be the final religious leader of Europe the Catholic tradition would imply what's going to be after Trump their tradition were their own prophecies but nevertheless it may well be proved this man has laid the foundation for the link up in my judgment of coming on with the common word to wait the division in the heart of Europe to create one of two parts a hundred leaders now it is quite clear to me that this leader could hardly be somebody from Germany at this stage I cannot imagine the imbalance of accepting crowds I cannot imagine the French accepting crowds of many Germans and the Pope would never, never accept it they checked the Pope who had strong and vivid the crowd is playing a very important role in creating the prominence of Germany and it says moving less in Europe in a certain direction but he is Germany's most brilliant and intelligent and able politician even though he may be right just in some of his views perhaps extreme as others are viewing but it would be very difficult for me or for Mr. Armstrong who had talked to him as I have even against Mr. Armstrong it would be difficult for Mr. Armstrong to describe that man as a gift I would just say that it would be in my estimation unthinkable to describe Otto Asperg as contemptible both of these men are extremely important in the formation that is going to take place but this contemptible person who the Royal Majesty had not been given that is it was not one of those things you would possibly heard of him he comes in with hours warning and attains the kingdom by a flattery suddenly armies will be utterly swept away before Mr. Bolton he won't go on any further he acts deceitfully as I mentioned that there are small people and without a warning verse 24 he comes into the richest part of the province which is Palestine and that is the original fulfillment but he is instead the final beat who enters into Palestine now all that I want to say is that there are three primary figures called to think creating a new Europe which if it were left into the hands of the present politicians would perhaps be a very stable Europe but what I perceive is the fact that neither east nor west is likely to agree on somebody who absolutely roughly sensed one side or the other but if there is coming a post who will encourage both sides to accept some neutral somewhere in the middle Austria is in the middle Austria has a history you see of a royal family this may not be true I'm just coming but I would suspect if somebody who now is not the word that would be thought of you know a lot of that's where he's not a German citizen he's not an Austrian citizen as well as he was he's a German citizen and he wanted to do this so as to get in the European Parliament to set the stage and use that policy I doubt that you and I yet know who the final contemptible man is who comes to prominence without warning who will be not let us say one who represents either the east or the west but will be somebody who holds it together along with a religious leader in order to hold the two parties together he almost has to be the one who's neutral in the middle I do not foresee yet that in 1979 October the Beast of Sabernacles that we can be sure that we have disappointed them but we are clear in having disappointed them also and have a responsibility to the Europeans to point out to them the consequences if what they are creating should fall into the hands of a contemptible person someone who doesn't normally merit the dignity that you would attribute to the great political figures of the Tent Nation as the nine of the common market or any of the figures in Eastern Europe I think it is wise to keep our judgment open but not to draw any conclusions that might be premature but to watch what the great minds that are now at birth are doing and what they are creating is consistent with one terrible flaw that flaw is the fact that it is the government of supreme power that should fall in the hands of an ordination who will be just not a noble character but a text I don't think we have ever explained it as I have this evening we are being here not only we want to turn this off but what we are adding is what we have perceived

all along that another vital story is there and it is telling the other half of the story a little bit more the eastern half or showing the beautiful religions a much better picture of the trends that are going to happen in Eastern Europe where as a law that is my perception the nations of Eastern Europe are ultimately going to be strong to join up with the West so that the whole of Europe becomes a new power and ultimately 10 states, 5 in the east and 5 in the west of the Soviet Union will give power to another man who leads them who will simply change the course of history and do things they never expect that he would do with his free office over this new successful restoration of the Roman Empire I hope you all have a safe journey home and we don't have to just rush away here or we have to just have to tell you later that we are going to be here